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GENERAL STATUTORY IMPLEMENTATION

OVERVIEW

The State Ethics Commission, as directed in General Provisions Article § 5-205, administers the provisions of the Public Ethics Law; creates and provides forms for each document required by the Public Ethics Law; retains as a public record each document filed with the Commission for at least four years after receipt; periodically reviews the adequacy of public ethics laws; reviews financial disclosure statements and lobbyist activity reports filed in accordance with the Public Ethics Law and notifies the filers of any identified omissions or deficiencies; and publishes information that explains the provisions of the Law.

In calendar year 2021, the Commission met 4 times in regularly scheduled sessions and held one phone conference meeting. During its meetings the Commission considered issues related to all areas of its statutory mandate: financial disclosure, conflict of interest, lobbyist disclosure and conduct restrictions, local government ethics laws, school board ethics regulations, advisory opinions, enforcement matters, employee training, lobbyist training and public information activities. Bonnie Kirkland was appointed to serve on the State Ethics Commission in September 2021, filling a vacancy from Commissioner Aruna Miller’s departure in December 2020. COVID-19 restrictions, including a mandatory telework order for State employees for a portion of the year, presented challenges for the State Ethics Commission in 2021. The Commission also relocated its offices temporarily in March 2021 due to a major renovation project at the 45 Calvert Street building. The Commission’s office returned to the 45 Calvert Street building in December 2021 and the staff is currently working on a hybrid telework schedule. The Commission updated its electronic financial disclosure system and employee training system to reflect changes to the Public Ethics Law resulting from legislation enacted during the 2021 legislative session. The Commission also updated its regulations, as well as its local government and board of education model ethics laws to reflect these 2021 legislative changes. The Commission members and staff worked through these challenges to carry out the agency objectives and maintain a high level of service throughout another unusual year.

The State Ethics Commission wants to acknowledge the passing of former Commissioner Julian L. “Jack” Lapides on July 14, 2021. Jack served on the State Ethics Commission from 2002-2014. He also served as Chairman of the Commission during his tenure. Prior to serving on the State Ethics Commission, Jack served in the Maryland House of Delegates and the Maryland Senate, representing Baltimore City. He was a sponsor of the 1979 legislation that became the Public Ethics Law and created the State Ethics Commission. Jack brought a wealth of experience, vigor and humor to his service on the Commission. He was respected and loved by his fellow Commissioners and the Commission staff.

ADVICE ACTIVITIES

The State Ethics Commission is responsible for interpreting the Public Ethics Law. Sections 5-301 through 5-303 of the Public Ethics Law authorize the State Ethics Commission to issue formal advisory opinions in response to requests from officials, employees, lobbyists, and others who are subject to the
Public Ethics Law. Formal opinions generally follow an appearance before the Commission by the requestor, are published in the Maryland Register, and are accessible electronically through the Division of State Documents in COMAR Title 19A. Section 5-301 of the Public Ethics Law and the Commission’s regulations in COMAR 19A.01.02.05 also authorize the staff and the Commission to provide informal advice. The Commission and its staff provide informal advice in many forms, including letters, emails, and phone calls.

During its forty plus years of existence, the Commission has issued 500 formal opinions. These opinions not only advise the public of the Commission’s interpretation of the Public Ethics Law, but also guide the Commission and its staff in providing informal advice. In light of this large body of interpretive decisions, in recent years the Commission and its staff primarily have provided advice informally. This process allows the Commission and its staff to deliver more timely advice, which has been important considering the steady increase in advice requests. The Commission issued one formal opinion in 2021.

The Commission has also published numerous informational memoranda and other written guidance on the various topics addressed in the Law. The memoranda are available on the Commission’s website. For officials and employees: Agency Fundraising; Board and Commission Ethics Law Requirements; Contractual Employees; General Information for Board and Commission Members; General Information on the Public Ethics Law (an overview); Gifts; Leaving State Employment; Political Activity; Post-Employment; Secondary Employment; Participation. For Lobbyists: Campaign Finance Activity; Contingent Fee Restrictions; General Information for Lobbyists; Gift Reporting for Lobbyists; Lobbying Law – Frequently Asked Questions; Lobbyist Regulation and Reporting Issues; Lobbyists Serving on Boards; Procurement Lobbying. For financial disclosure filers and agency personnel managing the agency’s program: Frequently Asked Questions Regarding Financial Disclosure; Financial Disclosure Filer Identification Manual. For local governments: Prince George’s County Zoning. These memoranda and other guidance are edited as necessary to accurately reflect the current State of the Law and the Commission’s interpretation of the Law.

The Commission’s informal docket logs requests for informal advice submitted to the staff or Commission. The docket captures more complex matters (requiring research, consultation with other staff members, etc.) which come to the staff’s attention by way of letters, telephone calls, email or “walk in” requests for advice. The Commission and its staff provided informal advice in the following subject areas during calendar years 2019 through 2021:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT MATTER OF THE ADVICE</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lobbying Registration, Reporting and Conduct</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Employment Advice</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation Advice</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Employment Advice</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gift Questions</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Financial Interest, Prestige, and Freedom of Information)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The number of informal matters addressed in 2021 reached an unprecedented level. The Commission staff has worked hard to encourage employees and officials to take a proactive approach to dealing with ethics matters, preferring to address issues before they become enforcement matters. As the above table indicates, the largest number of matters addressed dealt with State employees seeking outside or secondary employment, as is consistently the case. The chart below shows the distribution of advice requests by agency in 2021:

![Advice Matters by Agency](chart.png)

The “other agency” requests came from 30 additional, different State agencies.

The informal docket does not include routine advice on matters that the Commission’s Executive Director, General Counsel, Assistant General Counsel, and Staff Counsel are able to immediately resolve through telephone calls, emails, and in-person discussions on a daily basis. It also does not include the Commission staff assisting individuals with electronic financial disclosure filing or training or other general inquiries concerning the Public Ethics Law and access to public information.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP EXEMPTIONS

The Public-Private Partnership Act, which is codified in § 5-525 of the Public Ethics Law, allows Maryland Educational Institutions (including University System of Maryland (USM) institutions and Morgan State University) to grant to present and former university officials or employees, and under certain circumstances to specific officials (designated as a chancellor, vice chancellor, president or vice president of an educational institution) exemptions from certain conflict of interest provisions of the Public Ethics Law when engaged in research or development activities. Research or development is defined to include “the development or marketing of university-owned technology, the acquisition of services of an official or employee by an entity for research and development purposes, or participation in State economic development programs.” The exemption does not extend to the Ethics Law’s gift and prestige of office restrictions. The institution granting the exemption is required to have adopted
procedures conforming to the requirements of the Ethics Law, to maintain the exemption as a public record, and to file a copy with the State Ethics Commission.

The Law requires each governing board to report quarterly to the Governor, the Legislative Policy Committee of the General Assembly, and the State Ethics Commission, the number of exemptions approved. Records filed by the institutions with the Commission reflect a total of 821 faculty exemptions granted by the university presidents between 1996 and 2020. During calendar year 2021, USM institutions and Morgan State University reported an additional 99 faculty member exemptions to the Commission. The 2021 exemptions were from the following institutions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>Number of Exemptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morgan State University</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towson University</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Maryland Baltimore</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Maryland Baltimore County</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Maryland College Park</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Maryland Global Campus (&quot;UMGC&quot;)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL FACULTY EXEMPTIONS</strong></td>
<td><strong>99</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

The financial disclosure program continued to identify individual employees and officials required to file, provide technical assistance to filers, and monitor compliance with the Law. In accord with Public Ethics Law § 5-103, the Commission reviewed a sizable number of requests by various agencies to add positions to or delete positions from the financial disclosure filing list. The net result was an increase in the number of filers from 17,041 in 2020 to 18,483 in 2021. This increase is due, in part, to a number of newly identified positions within the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. Pursuant to Public Ethics Law §§ 5-103 and 5-209, the Commission also made decisions regarding whether newly created boards and commissions met the Ethics Law’s definition of “executive unit”. These determinations are significant because members of executive units are subject to the Public Ethics Law, including both the conflict of interest and financial disclosure filing requirements. The Commission also considered and acted upon requests by several boards and commissions for exemptions from the requirement to file financial disclosure statements. The Commission continues to see a substantial increase in the number of boards, commissions, task forces, and technical advisory groups created by the General Assembly.

The basic financial disclosure statement filed by most individuals who are determined by the Commission to be public officials is referred to as Form #1. Individuals who are public officials only as the result of their participation on boards or commissions are required to file a limited financial disclosure
Legislators are required to file a more extensive disclosure statement (Form #19). The Public Ethics Law requires financial disclosure statements to be submitted electronically. The electronic system has many advantages, both for the filer because of its user-friendly nature and for the staff. The system permits the staff to quickly review electronically submitted statements, compare them to previously filed electronic statements, notify filers by email of any omissions or questions raised by the statements, and maintain copies of those notifications in the filers’ electronic records. The emails become attached to the electronic files, and a record is therefore compiled of statements, inquiries, and responses. Filers may also electronically file amendments if required. Communication with filers, for the most part, is through email, which also saves the Commission substantial supply (i.e. envelopes) and postage costs.

The Commission staff conducts compliance reviews of financial disclosure statements and notifies filers of identifiable errors or omissions, and it pursues enforcement actions against those who fail to file. During 2021, the Commission was able to review 18,636 financial disclosure statements. This number decreased from the 27,958 statements reviewed in 2020 due to a large number of backlogged statements being reviewed after the introduction of the Robotic Process Automation (RPA) in 2020, which automated this routine manual process. In addition to ramping up the number of compliance reviews, the RPA permits the Commission to redirect significant staff resources to higher value work.

LOBBYIST DISCLOSURE AND REGULATION

The lobbying year runs from November 1st to October 31st of the following year. The Public Ethics Law requires a regulated lobbyist to register separately for each entity that engages the regulated lobbyist for lobbying purposes. For the lobbying year that ended October 31, 2021, 3,502 lobbying registrations were filed with the Commission. Those registrations were submitted by 653 lobbyists on behalf of 1,396 employers. This represents a decrease of 102 registrations from the 3,604 filed for the period ending October 31, 2020. The Commission launched a new lobbyist registration and reporting system in September of 2019, replacing an outdated system that had been in place since 2005. This new system provides a more accurate way of reporting expenses.

The following table summarizes lobbying expenditures for 2021 and 2020:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF EXPENDITURE</th>
<th>10/31/2021</th>
<th>10/31/2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compensation</td>
<td>$60,480,109.28</td>
<td>$55,858,766.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries to Staff</td>
<td>$834,604.86</td>
<td>$877,470.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expenses</td>
<td>$801,629.18</td>
<td>$1,093,683.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>$417,167.01</td>
<td>$531,010.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications</td>
<td>$3,716,153.35</td>
<td>$1,560,604.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness Fees</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$28,051.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Expenses</td>
<td>$390,581.31</td>
<td>$338,677.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE OF EXPENDITURE</td>
<td>10/31/2021</td>
<td>10/31/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBTOTAL</td>
<td>$66,641,744.99</td>
<td>$60,288,264.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events</td>
<td>$297,348.77</td>
<td>$1,287,571.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tickets</td>
<td>$14.24</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Meetings</td>
<td>$112.50</td>
<td>$31,882.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaking Engagements</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals and Beverages</td>
<td>$688.70</td>
<td>$13,657.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts</td>
<td>$22,387.35</td>
<td>$11,409.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL OF ALL EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>$66,962,296.55</td>
<td>$61,633,380.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES**

There are two types of complaints, as that term is used in the Public Ethics Law and the Commission’s regulations. The Public Ethics Law provides that any person may file a complaint with the Commission. Complaints filed with the Commission must be signed under oath and allege a violation of the Public Ethics Law by a person subject to the Law. In addition, following investigation of independently obtained information, the Commission may issue a complaint on its own motion alleging Public Ethics Law violations. Enforcement inquiries and reviews are conducted by the Commission’s Staff Counsel, with the assistance of two paralegals and a compliance officer. In 2021, Staff Counsel was also assisted by 1 intern.

The term “preliminary matters” describes those matters that have not yet reached the complaint stage. The Commission’s enforcement procedures divide preliminary matters into two categories. All new matters are docketed as Preliminary Consideration Matters (A matters) and presented to the Commission for review to determine whether the matter merits staff inquiry or follow-up. Cases where the Commission determines that investigation is warranted are designated Preliminary Inquiry Matters (B matters).

In 2021, the Commission opened 51 A matters (Preliminary Consideration), including 26 conflict of interest matters, 15 lobbyist matters, 6 financial disclosure matters, and 4 training matters. The Commission entered into 15 Late Filing Agreements with lobbyists during 2021, resulting in payments of $1,900 to the Fair Campaign Finance Fund. The Commission closed 39 A matters in 2021. (Note that at this preliminary stage, allegations of ethics violations against multiple parties may be grouped as a single matter, e.g. late filed lobbyist reports.)

The Commission opened 6 B matters (Preliminary Inquiry Matters) in 2021. All 6 involved conflicts of interest. In 2021, the Commission also closed 6 B matters, which includes 4 matters from 2020. The Commission issued a reprimand and assessed a fee in lieu of a fine of $250, through a Pre-Complaint Disposition Agreement; and issued a reprimand and assessed a fee in lieu of a fine of $100, through a Pre-
Complaint Disposition Agreement, to two employees of the Maryland Department of Health for participating in a matter where a qualifying relative had a specific interest. The employees acknowledged that their actions violated the prohibition of § 5-501 against an employee participating in matters where qualifying relatives have a specific interest. The Commission issued a reprimand and assessed a fee in lieu of a fine of $100, through a Pre-Complaint Disposition Agreement to an employee of the Maryland Department of Labor for holding a financial interest in an entity that entered into a contract with the employee’s governmental unit. The employee acknowledged that their actions violated the prohibition of § 5-502 against an employee holding a financial interest in an entity with specific relationships with the employee’s governmental unit. All enforcement payments were deposited in the Fair Campaign Finance Fund and cannot be used by the Commission. The Commission assessed a total of $2,850 in enforcement penalties in 2021.

In calendar year 2021, the Commission issued no complaints and closed no complaints. In fiscal year 2021, the State Ethics Commission began implementing an intermediary step in the process of the issuance of complaints against State employees, public officials, and lobbyists for failing to file their Financial Disclosure Statements or failing to satisfy their mandatory training obligations. In the past, the names of the individuals who were delinquent in their filings or training requirements were presented to the Commission and complaints were authorized and issued to those individuals, during each Commission meeting. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the Commission began authorizing complaints for issuance within 14 days after the Commission meeting if the individuals failed to file or complete their training within the specified time. This authorization period has allowed staff to streamline their work and make additional efforts to contact the delinquent individuals, and provide assistance. The authorization of complaints, without immediate issuance, has proven very successful in prompting individuals to file their statements and fulfill their training obligations as compared to the immediate issuance of complaints. As a direct result, far fewer complaints were issued in 2021.

Following successful audits of Lobbyist Activity Reports in 2016, the Commission approved Staff Counsel’s request that the audits continue annually. In 2021, 19 Activity Reports filed by lobbyists for the period of November 1, 2020 to April 30, 2021 were selected for audit. The Public Ethics Law requires that lobbyists report compensation and other expenditures by filing Activity Reports. Gen'l Prov. § 5-705. The Commission is required to review each report filed with it as part of its duties under the Public Ethics Law. Gen'l Prov. § 5-205(a)(5)(i). Lobbyists must retain each “…account, bill, receipt, book, paper, or other document[s] necessary to substantiate…” their Activity Reports and affiliated reports for 3 years after the reports are filed. Gen’l Prov. § 5-409(a-b). Each lobbyist, with reasonable notice from the Commission, shall make those documents available to the Commission for inspection. Gen’l Prov. § 5-409(c). This last section provides the Commission with the authority to audit Activity Reports and other associated reports by inspecting supporting documentation. Lobbyists are advised that the audits will be occurring and of the documentation they will be required to provide if they are selected for an audit.

Nine lobbyists, or 47%, were required to amend their Activity Reports or Personal Disclosures as a result of the audit in 2021. Each year, due to the errors discovered in the audits, instructions in the lobbyist training are updated to emphasize the issues that arise in this and other audit years. After Activity Reports for the period of November 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022 are filed, the Commission staff will randomly select no less than 20 lobbyists and meet with them to review the documentation that supports their reports. Staff Counsel will then ask the lobbyists to provide any necessary amendments. Lobbyists who fail to respond to the audit will be subject to enforcement action.¹

¹ The primary purpose of the audits, which are performed on randomly selected lobbyists, is to confirm that the information reported by them is accurate and supported by the records they maintain. The random nature of the
LOCAL GOVERNMENT ETHICS LAWS

The Public Ethics Law charges the Commission with ensuring that local governments and school boards implement laws/regulations consistent with the requirements imposed on them in the State law. The Commission, however, has no role in administering those laws/regulations once it determines they are in compliance with the State’s requirements. That responsibility belongs to the local governments and school boards.

During 2021, the Commission’s Executive Director, General Counsel, and Assistant General Counsel participated in numerous phone discussions with county and local ethics officials, as well as their representative associations. The conversations addressed questions relating to conflicts of interest, financial disclosure and lobbying, and the adoption of local laws/regulations to ensure compliance with enhanced requirements imposed on elected local officials and school board members by the General Assembly in legislation enacted in 2017 (the Public Integrity Act). The Commission received twenty-nine new written requests for advice from local governments and boards of education, and staff continued its review of the ethics ordinances and policies of local governments and boards of education for compliance with the Public Ethics Law and the Commission’s regulations. The Commission staff worked with several jurisdictions that previously submitted draft laws but had not yet received Commission approval. The Commission continued to review, advise, and approve draft ordinances or revisions to previously approved local ethics ordinances from counties and municipalities. While local governments must submit to the Commission an annual certification of compliance, the Ethics Law contains no such certification requirement for local boards of education. As a result of the 2017 legislation referenced above, most if not all local governments and boards of education are required to make changes to their local ordinances and policies to comply with the State Law requirements. Legislation passed in 2021 will also require all local governments and boards of education to make changes to their local ordinances and policies. As of the end of 2021, many jurisdictions had enacted compliant laws/regulations and many more are in the process of doing so.

The Public Ethics Law and the Commission’s regulations authorize the Commission to exempt a municipality from the requirement to adopt an ethics law, or to modify the provisions applicable to a municipality, if the Commission determines an exemption or modification to be warranted based upon the size of the municipality. Commission regulations (19A.04.03.03) require the Commission to review the status of all municipal exemptions and modifications at the end of each decennial census to determine if those that were previously granted are still appropriate. The review for the 2010 census was undertaken at the end of 2013 and the beginning of 2014. No additional exemptions/modifications were granted in 2021.

The Commission issued no new Public Notices in 2021, but three Public Notices for noncompliance with the requirements of Subtitle 8 of the Public Ethics Law continue from previous years. Public Notices are posted on the Commission’s website at http://ethics.maryland.gov/local-government-public-notices/ and set forth the issues for each jurisdiction related to noncompliance with the State requirements. At the end of 2021, Public Notices existed for the City of Gaithersburg, the Town of Hampstead, and the Town of Mount Airy.
Finally, the Commission also received and reviewed two reports from Montgomery County and two reports from Prince George’s County regarding the special land use ethics disclosure reports required in those jurisdictions (See §5-833 through §5-845).

EDUCATIONAL AND INFORMATIONAL ACTIVITIES

The Commission staff has been active in providing formal training to State employees, lobbyists and local jurisdictions. The training has involved advising and assisting employees, officials, candidates and lobbyists on completion of forms, and providing training related to the conflict of interest provisions of the Public Ethics Law. The Commission staff has assisted local government and school board officials in drafting their ethics laws and regulations and provided technical advice to local government ethics commissions.

The Public Ethics Law requires new financial disclosure filers (i.e. public officials) to receive 2 hours of Ethics Law training (§ 5-205(d)) within 6 months of becoming filers. In 2021, approximately 1900 filers took this training.

In addition to the basic training provided to new financial disclosure filers, the staff regularly responds to requests from various State entities for general ethics training and other, specifically focused training. The staff conducted 12 virtual general ethics training programs for agencies, boards and commissions, attended by 444 State employees and public officials, addressing conflicts of interest and the financial disclosure requirements. The Commission staff also conducted one in-person training session addressing conflict of interest issues attended by an additional 25 State employees, public officials, members of the public and special interest groups. The total number of individuals who attended general ethics and conflict of interest training was 469.

In accordance with § 5-205(e) of the Public Ethics Law, which requires the State Ethics Commission to provide a training course for regulated lobbyists and prospective regulated lobbyists at least twice each year, the Commission staff conducted 2 virtual lobbying training programs attended by 8 regulated lobbyists. In total, 344 regulated lobbyists took the mandated training online or in person during calendar year 2021. The lobbying training focuses on electronic filing, the general lobbying conduct prohibitions in the Law, and reporting requirements.

The State Ethics Commission relies heavily on its website to make information available to officials, employees, lobbyists, and members of the general public. The Commission’s home page allows users to access the Commission’s Annual Reports, special explanatory memoranda, and other information. The Commission’s electronic filing for lobbyists and financial disclosure filers may be accessed from the website, and all Commission forms may be downloaded from the home page.

2021 LEGISLATION REPORT & RECOMMENDATIONS

For the 2021 Session of the General Assembly, the State Ethics Commission did not propose any departmental legislation. Several other pieces of legislation passed during the 2021 legislative session did make significant changes to the provisions of the Public Ethics Law.
HB 363 – Public Ethics – Officials and Employees – Acceptance of Gifts and Prohibited Retaliation -
This legislation was sponsored by Delegate Brooke Lierman. The legislation became effective on June 1, 2021. This legislation adds “an association, or any entity acting on behalf of an association, that is engaged only in representing counties or municipal corporations” to the list of entities considered to be a controlled donor under the gift provisions of Section 5-505. Essentially, this legislation places MACO and MML under the gift restrictions and prohibits these entities from providing gifts to State employees and officials that are otherwise prohibited for other entities. This legislation also adds a new provision to the Public Ethics Law, Section 5-509. This provision provides that an official or employee may not retaliate against an individual for reporting or participating in an investigation of a potential violation of the Public Ethics Law. Immediate changes to the Commission’s current regulations, financial disclosure system and training modules were also necessary as a result of this legislation.

HB 980 – Prince George’s County – Public Ethics – Payments and Transfer and Zoning Intensification Requests - This legislation was sponsored by the Prince George’s County Delegation. The legislation became effective on July 1, 2021. The legislation sunsets on December 31, 2022. The General Assembly’s 90 Day Report summarizes this legislation as follows: The Maryland Public Ethics Law generally prohibits a member of the Prince George’s County Council from voting or participating in a proceeding on an application for a land use decision in the county if the member, or a slate to which the member belongs or belonged during a specified period, received a specified payment from any of the applicants or agents of the applicants. HB 980 exempts a member from the above prohibition if the proceeding in which the member participates is part of a countywide zoning map amendment that is recommended by the Prince George’s County Planning Board, where the intent is to implement an approved general plan by repealing and replacing all zoning categories applicable to land in Prince George’s County. In addition, the bill generally prohibits, during the period when the District Council of Prince George’s County is adopting and approving a countywide zoning map amendment, the planning board from recommending and the district council from approving specified zoning intensification requests that differ substantially from the applicable zoning category or classification recommended in the Proposed Guide to New Zones adopted by the district council on July 16, 2019. Finally, the bill requires a member who received a payment or transfer from an applicant, agent or entity that requests a specified zone intensification to (1) return the payment or transfer and (2) make a not in the public record of the returned payment or transfer before the adoption of the countywide zoning map amendment.

HB 1058 – Public Ethics – Disclosures, Training, Use of Confidential Information (Integrity in High Office Act) - This legislation was sponsored by Delegate Vaughn Stewart. The legislation was effective on October 1, 2021. The provision related to training for elected Executive Branch Officials has a delayed effective date. The provisions related to certain financial disclosure requirements for certain elected Executive Branch Officials also have a delayed effective date. This legislation will have a significant impact on the State Ethics Commission. These legislative changes will require the creation of an additional training module for elected Executive Branch officials, as well as a separate financial disclosure module for certain 3 elected Executive Branch Officials to include schedules that must only be filed by this category of State official. Fortunately, these new modules do not have to be created immediately. Immediate changes to the current regulations, financial disclosure system and training modules were also necessary as a result of this legislation.

The General Assembly’s 2021 90 Day Report summarizes this legislation as follows:

…House Bill 1058 established various disclosure requirements that apply to specified officials in the Executive Branch. For example, the bill requires the Governor, Lt. Governor, Attorney General, Treasurer, Comptroller and Secretaries of principal departments in the Executive Branch to disclose certain conflicts of interest to the General Assembly and the State Ethics Commission. The bill requires the State Ethics Commission
to provide specified notice to the Joint Ethics Committee when authorizing specified employment or a specified financial interest by the Governor, Lt. Governor, Attorney General, Treasurer or Comptroller. In addition, House Bill 1058 makes several other modifications to the Ethics law as it applies to State and public officials and employees. Specifically, the bill (1) expands the types of interests attributable to an individual for purposes of financial disclosure; (2) requires certain officials to disclose specified information relating to any financial or contractual relationship with the University of Maryland Medical System or a governmental or quasi-governmental unit of the State or a local government in the State; (3) prohibits former officials and employees from using specified confidential information acquired by reason of the individual’s former public position for personal economic benefit or the economic benefit of another; and (4) requires State officials subject to the jurisdiction of the State Ethics Commission on January 19, 2023 to receive a training course on the requirements of the Ethics Law…

PROPOSED CHANGES TO CONFLICT OF INTEREST PROVISIONS

None currently.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO LOBBYING PROVISIONS

The Commission supports modifying the lobbying provisions of the Public Ethics Law in the following manner:

- Section 5-709 requires lobbyists to report the total cost of a meal or reception to which all members of a legislative unit are invited. The current requirement may inadvertently inflate the actual amount spent on lobbying legislators when both legislators and non-legislators are invited. The Commission recommends that the General Assembly amend this provision by limiting the reporting requirement to the costs associated with the legislative invitees only.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE PROVISIONS

None currently.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS

None currently.
APPENDIX A

STATE ETHICS COMMISSION MEMBERS – 1979 TO PRESENT

* Herbert J. Belgrad 1979 to 1986
  William B. Calvert 1979 to 1980
  Jervis S. Finney 1979 to 1983
  Reverend John Wesley Holland 1979 to 1987
* Barbara M. Steckel 1979 to 1990
  Betty B. Nelson 1981 to 1988
* Thomas D. Washburne 1984 to 1986
* M. Peter Moser 1987 to 1989
* William J. Evans 1987 to 1993
  Reverend C. Anthony Muse 1988 to 1990
  Robert C. Rice, Ph.D. 1989 to 1993
* Mark C. Medairy, Jr. 1990 to 1999
  Mary M. Thompson 1990 to 1994
  Shirley P. Hill 1992 to 1994
* Michael L. May 1993 to 2003
  Robert J. Romadka 1994 to 1997
  April E. Sepulveda 1994 to 2003
* Charles O. Monk, II 1995 to 2003
* Dorothy R. Fait 1999 to 2005
  D. Bruce Poole 2000 to 2004
* Julian L. Lapides 2002 to 2014
  Ava S. Feiner, Ph.D. 2003 to 2005
* Robert F. Scholz 2003 to 2012
  Daryl D. Jones 2005 to 2006
* Janet E. McHugh 2005 to 2011 & 2015 to present
* Paul M. Vettori 2006 to 2016
  H. Richard Duden, III 2006 to 2008
  Jacob Yosef Miliman 2008 to 2019
  Andrea Leahy-Fuchek 2011 to 2013
  Robert G. Blue 2012 to 2015
  Martin G. Madden 2014 to 2014
  Rachel T. McGuckian 2014 to 2015
  Kim L. Coble 2015 to 2019
  Thomas B. Smyth, M.D. 2015 to 2016
  James R. Benjamin, Jr. 2016 to 2018
  Craig D. Roswell 2016 to present
  Aruna Miller 2019 to 2020
  Geneau M. Thames 2019 to present
  James N. Robey, Jr. 2019 to present
  Bonnie A. Kirkland 2021 to present

*Person served as Chair during some part of his/her term on the Commission.